

2) 'Ali Ufki 57r/113. 1 = J. The piece is described as nazive-i Küçük Ahmed Beg.

H1 3: 9 dc, 4: 4 d.

M a 1: 2Bd, 4c, 9-10Bd, 2: 7-8dc ( ), 3: 7-8cfll ga, 4: 4ga, 5-6gfll fle, 9-10 prima volta: ed cBd, seconda volta: d.

M b 2: 8 ag, 9-10 a, 3: 4 g, 9-10 ag fle.

M c 1: 4 cBd, 5-6 cBd ABd, 2: 1-2 d Bd (  $\Box$  ), 3-4 c d, 5 c, 4: 2 cBd, 3-4 c d, 7-10 prima volta: A Bd c d, seconda volta: A.



- 1) 112 a 4: 1 and 2 are smudged and cannot be read clearly. One might conjecture fit g corrected to d c.
- H3 b: The notation ends with  $d \ d$  (end of 4), followed by the last four notes of 2, i.e. Cantemir appears to have conflated the *primalseconda* volta variants, but (to judge by the 'Ali Ufki version) in reverse order, the probable reason being that the four time-unit duration of the final seconda volta d has been inadvertently applied to the d preceding the four final notes of 2.
- 2) 112 a 1: 10 g, 3: 4 d'e', 5 d'c', 10 gft, 4: 1-2 ft ed, 3 eft. -2.
- 112 b 2: 2 cd, 3-4 cfl ga, 7-10 prima volta: de flg abd c'd', seconda volta: d.
- H3 a 1,2: 3 e, 4 d, 3: 10 B41. 1 and 2 are written as one cycle to be repeated. There is no repeat sign after 4, so that the next block to be repeated is made up of H3 a 2 and 3 + H3 b 1 and 2.
- 113 h 1: 7-8 g e ( $\int \int$ ), 9-10 ft e ( $\int \int$ ), 2: prima volta as 2, seconda volta as 4.
- H3 is followed by a serbend (related to H1 3 and 4):

