

- 1) M a 3: 6 ft (L) seems reasonably clear, but it is just possible that c (L) might have been intended.
- 2) 'Ali U[$k\bar{i}$ 71r/140. 1 = J. The piece is ascribed to \$erif.

111 1: 1-2 A Bd, 3 ABd, 4 G, 6 fle, 2: 3: 6 c, 4: 1-2 cBd c.

M a 1: 2,6 cBd, 2: 2 cBd. 1 and 2 are to be repeated. 3: 3-4 deb(I), 6 c, 7-8 deb(I), 4: 2 cBd. 3 and 4 are to be repeated.

M b 4: 9-10 G. A bar is drawn before the last note of 3. To be assumed is either an incomplete repeat indication for 1-3 (with implied *prima volta* (A) and seconda volta (e) variants), or a bar mistakenly placed one note too early to indicate that the repeat sign at the end applies only to 4 and

the additional cycle following it:



1) H3 3: There is no explicit indication that what precedes the sign 1 is to be repeated, but in the Ali Utki version H3 consists only of these two cycles, which are to be repeated. They are also there followed in the normal way by the (full) müldzime, so that it is possible that Cantemir is using 1 not as a simple equivalent of : to indicate where previous material reappears to conclude the hane (after which the müldzime reappears), but to mark the point of transition to a truncated form of the müldzime terminating the piece.

2) H2 2: 5-6 fle flg, 3: 3-4d(cll), but a slip for) eb(I), 6 c, 7-8 deb(I), 4: 1-2cBdc. H3 1: 3-4deb(I), 6 c, 2: 9-10BdAG.

3) Sanal 136-7. 1 = 1. Suggested tempo 1 = 160.

Ma 3: 6 e.