

H1 b 1: 13-14: the original has e J, giving the cycle one time unit too many. It seems, however, that the e may originally have had duration 2 rather than 4, in other words that the cycle was previously notated with one time unit too few, and that the correction made was overgenerous.



H3 c: this and the previous section are separated not by the normal ve lch but, erroneously, by tamm (which appears again in its proper position at the end of the piece).